

		_	-	_
Code	TC PA 01		Title	Police Funding

Description

PA and CoLP have endeavoured in recent years to create sustainable medium-term finances. In 18/19 Police funding was notably out of balance with CoLP resourcing requirements, leading to reserves of £5.7m being utilised and fully depleted. Since then significant increases in Government and CoLC funding have supported a series of uplifts in workforce levels. However, the "additionality" requirements of the new funding have limited opportunities to mitigate deficits by being essentially ring-fenced in the short to medium-term and Police savings plans have been the main contributor to deficit reduction. These savings have had a disproportionate impact on core, frontline policing. New pressures have also arisen from:

- Non-inflation linked settlements (with expectation that Precept increases will cover inflation and increase the local share of overall funding).
- A major increase in officer pensions costs in 2019, causing net pressure of £2-3m pa.
- The transition to a loan-based capital financing model in April 2020, which was a positive step in reducing the financial call on the Corporation.
- Latterly new revenue and capital pressures / risks arising, including for Action Fraud and known or likely reduction in Counter-Terrorism, Bank of England and TfL grants.

These points collectively set the size of the challenge still required to balance Police medium-term finances, with deficits rising to £14m by 25/26, assuming reinstatement of the £2.3m Business Rate Premium funding reduction in 21/22

Cause: 1. CoLP savings plans insufficiently developed for whole medium term. 2. Failure to deliver savings plans. 3. New pressures or grant reductions arise 4. Compounded by Action Fraud/NFIB re-procurement risk (2).

Risk Event: Police finances are not sustainable over the medium term. Or tactical savings required which adversely impact services. Insufficient evidence base on how far CoLP can contribute to closing deficits through "self help" measures / savings plans across medium-term and related

se	rvice	ımp	lications
----	-------	-----	-----------

Effects: Cuts required have severe operational impacts – and / or emergency Corporation "bailout" required. PA inhibited in its role of securing an efficient and effective police service and the necessary funding levels without robust evidence base

Committee

Category	
Risk Level	Departmental

Approach	Reduce
Risk Owner	Caroline Al-Beyerty; John Barradell; Alistair Cook; Simon Latham

Police Authority Board

Department	Town Clerk's
------------	--------------

Current Risk Assessment, Score & Trend Comparison	pood Impact		Constant		
Likelihood	Likely				
Impact	Major				
Risk Score	16				
Review Date	03-Dec-2021				

Target Risk Assessment & Score	pood 12 Impact		
Likelihood	Possible		
Impact	Major		
Risk Score	12		
Target Date	31-Mar-2022		

Latest Note

Summary of MTFP pressures and risks presented to Police Authority Board in June 2021.

Informal RASC Away Day (July 2021) considered Police MTFP pressures, case for reinstatement of £2.3m funding reduction linked to 12% savings target and reduced BRP intake. Also case for programme of annual increases to BRP considered. Formal decisions will be subject to future budget setting processes.

Indicative mitigations to close £6m gap in 22/23 (assuming £2.3m reinstatement of BRP) presented to October PAB and Budget Bilateral meetings. Further work to be done to develop the mitigations, service choices and policing impacts for December PAB and 2nd budget bilateral. MTFP deep dive session also to be held in advance of November PAB.

Spending Review outcomes for Police include additional funding to complete the Government's 20k officer uplift commitment – and additional Precept flexibility of £10pa (noting that Corporation is unable to Precept to provide local policing funding and instead applies BRP). Government

funding allocation to CoLP for 22/23 likely to be announced in December.

Quarterly reporting from CoLP on progress against in-year savings plans.

Greater scrutiny from PAB and involvement from the PA in the Transform programme.

Ref No:	Title	Action Description	Action Owner	Due Date	Progress	Latest Note
TC PA 01a	Evidence base for 'self help' - Sep 2021	Joint review of what CoLP can do to mitigate MTFP deficits (action from June PAB)	Cecille Booth ; Alistair Cook	31-Dec-2021	70%	Indicative mitigations for 22/23 presented to October PAB and Budget bilateral. Further development of mitigations, service choices and policing impacts to be done for December PAB and 2nd Budget Bilateral.
TC PA 01b	Evidence base for 'self help' - March 2022	Taking outcomes of review through Corporation governance, including September RREC & PAB, budget bilaterals and Finance Committee / RASC decisions on 22/23 budgets	Caroline Al-Beyerty; Alistair Cook; Simon Latham	31-Mar-2022	50%	Subject to outcomes of PAB and Budget Bilateral in December 2021.
TC PA 01d	CT, Bank of England & TfL Grant Reduction	Agree officer baseline reduction	Cecille Booth; Alistair Cook	31-Mar-2022	50%	Further discussions have been held with Home Office. HO position sought.
TC PA 01e	Action Fraud/NFIB Funding post 2021 Spending Review	Clarity from the Home Office on a funding settlement for the next generation Action Fraud/NFIB system post 2021 Spending Review	Simon Latham	31-Mar-2022	25%	Info provided to Home Office to support its SR bid. Awaiting confirmation from HO on SR outcome in relation to this programme.

TC PA 03	Title	Maintaining effective working relationships	
	between the Police Au	thority and CoLP	
	Approach		
Departmental	Risk Owner	John Barradell; Simon Latham	
Town Clerk's Town Clerk's Constant	Target Risk Assessment & Score	Police Authority Board Board	
Possible	Likelihood	Unlikely	
Major	Impact	Major	
12	Risk Score	8	
	Target Date	31-Mar-2022	
	Risk Event: Lack of co-operation and mutual trust Effects: Inability of the Police Authority to carry out Commissioner. Departmental Town Clerk's Constant Possible Major	Risk Event: Lack of co-operation and mutual trust between the Police Au Effects: Inability of the Police Authority to carry out its legal responsibilities. Commissioner. Approach Risk Owner Town Clerk's Committee Target Risk Assessment & Score Possible Major Likelihood Impact	

Ensure balancing in applying "critical friend" approach to relationship.

Ref No:	Title	Action Description	Action Owner	Due Date	Progress	Latest Note
	Authority Governance	The creation of document providing a detailed 'heartbeat for Police Authority Governance to be shared and agree with the Force	Simon Latham	31-Dec-2021		Ways of working sessions are due to take place between the Force and Authority as part of the induction of the new Commissioner over the next couple of months

Code	TC PA 04		Title	Changes to Police Authority Governance
Description	Cause: Corporate Col structure Risk Event: Inability to achieve Effects: Police Authority not fu	e efficient and effecti	ve governance of CoLI	P
Category		· ·	Approach	
Risk Level	Departmental		Risk Owner	Simon Latham
	•			•
Department	Town Clerk's	·	Committee	Police Authority Board
Current Risk Assessment, Score & Trend Comparison	Dood limpact	Constant	Target Risk Assessment & Score	Impact 8
Likelihood	Possible	•	Likelihood	Unlikely
Impact	Major		Impact	Major
Risk Score	12		Risk Score	8
Review Date	12-Nov-2021		Target Date	31-Mar-2022
Latest Note	Fully implement current plans f Make case as appropriate for c implementing the Lisvane Revi	other changes to Poli	ce governance recomr	mended by the Lisvane Review, as part of CoLC's overall process of

Ref No:	Title	Action Description	Action Owner	Due Date	Progress	Latest Note
	1		7 10 110 11	2 4.0 2 4.10		

TC PA 04a	Capital programme governance	Consider scope to streamline CoLC capital programme governance for CoLP.	Alistair Cook; Simon Latham	31-Mar-2022		Initial work started on making the case for 'procurement' projects such as fleet replacement.
--------------	------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	-------------	--	---

Code	TC PA 05	Title	Transform						
Description	Cause: Insufficient clarity on the outcomes of the Transform programme for the Police Authority to obtain robust assurance on whether key objectives are deliverable and extent to which the new CoLP TOM is transformational Risk event: Police Authority has an uninformed or erroneous view of efficiency and effectiveness of the operating changes being delivered throu Transform and/or progress being made to deliver Transform to agreed timelines. Effects: Leading to an over or under optimistic view of the delivery of the programme. Potential undermining of the Policing Plan, MTFO and working relationships between the Police Authority and CoLP.								
Category		Approach							
Risk Level	Departmental	Risk Owner	Simon Latham						
Current Risk Assessment, Score & Trend Comparison	Constant Impact	Target Risk Assessment & Score	Impact 8						
Likelihood	Possible	Likelihood	Unlikely						
Impact	Major	Impact	Major						
Risk Score	12	Risk Score	8						
Review Date	12-Nov-2021	Target Date	31-Mar-2022						
	Possible Act Major C Score 12 iew Date 12-Nov-2021		Unlikely Major 8 31-Mar-2022 D-committees. More work required on future affordability of the second sec						

SIA Member engagement, with appropriate Police Authority officer support, to develop a clearer and more quantified articulation of the Transform programme for Members.

Ref No:	Title	Action Description	Action Owner	Due Date	Progress	Latest Note
TC PA 05a	Transform alignment	Alignment with joint review at TC PA 01a (including STRA, Workforce Plan, MTFP)	Cecille Booth ; Alistair Cook	31-Dec-2021		Initial paper submitted to Sept 2021 RREC & PAB meetings. Further work to be done for December PAB and 2nd Budget Bilateral to align Transform, MTFP mitigations & service choices assessment.
TC PA 05b	PAB assurance	S	Cecille Booth; Alistair Cook; Simon Latham	31-Dec-2021	10%	Work to be developed during autumn 2021